Vermont Nuclear Decommissioning Citizen Advisory Panel Meeting Minutes – DRAFT

Brattleboro Area Middle School Multipurpose Room, Brattleboro, VT Thursday, December 10, 2015; 6:00 p.m. – 8:30 p.m.

Time	Topic	Speaker
6:00 p.m.	Welcome, Introduction of Panelists Overview of the Agenda	Kate O'Connor
6:05 p.m. (10 minutes)	Update on NRC's Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Re: Decommissioning O'Connor read letter – Citizens request extension of comment period to 02/04/2016. Motion for panel to join request. VY abstained from voting on topic. All other panel members agreed. Hebert, McDonald, Wamser, Martin (not present)	Panel
6:15 p.m. (15 minutes)	Public Comment on Draft Advisory Opinions -Judy Davidson, Proposal draft opinion EP (timeframe for agreement to dismantling sirens 12/15/2015) Concern for haste due to pending Advisory Opinion on future EP. -Derek Jordan, NRC approved VY request to end 10 mile EPZ and go back to fence line. Possible extension to towns? -Chris Recchia, State not done with matter – still evaluating based on NRC rules. -Paul Paradis, Towns giving option to keep and maintain sirens or Entergy would remove. Timing due to scheduling vendor for project. Not sure if extension possible, will check. 6 sirens being retired after April 2016 -Dave Andrews, Town of Vernon decided to keep their sirens. -Leslie, Thank you. NRC financial support for training and support. 2 nd ISFSI – Safe & Green and Windham Regional Commission support one consolidated pad. RERP – support Irwin's proposal EP based on level of risk and phase of decommissioning.	Public

6:30 p.m. (90 minutes)

NDCAP Advisory Opinion Discussion

O'Connor recommendation on process. Discuss both, then vote. Discuss substance only, not grammatical changes. Panel agreed recommendation with a motion to move forward.

Discussion and action on Certificate of Public Good on second ISFSI Support of putting pad adjacent to the existing.

Original draft opinion made by Entergy.

Sending entire document.

Statement regarding third pad and 2032 was based on VY running and needing space for spent fuel. Facts were based on scenario prior to announcement of shutdown.

Using NDT for second pad is being opposed by State. Third pad and move of fuel from second pad may not be reimbursed by DOE and can not be supported by State.

SAE states D&D may be inhibited by fuel on pad if not removed by DOE at that time.

Error – Jim's opinion on quote – date should be 2026 not 2016. Request to address how close ISFSI pads are to buildings and D&D concerns. No impact due to proximity of ISFSI to Service Building (approx. 25 feet), further to Reactor Building use different methodology on just that side.

Has cost analysis been done to move pad to another location and security impacts? No, because it would be in the hundreds of millions of dollars to do this work.

Other decommissioned plants were the ISFSI's as close to buildings?

Location of pad not driving factor as to whether site can be reused or not. Costs and impact to the NDT are the driving concern.

Information discussed at Town of Vernon select board meeting – They want to proceed with existing proposal.

2013 2nd ISFSI pad process was begun and is still not complete. If VY were to start over then 2020 is not a feasible end to the project and staffing would need to be maintained all of which would impact the NDT. VY engineering studies indicate that the second pad is sufficient for all fuel and high level waste on site and a third pad would not be necessary. Engineering feasibility studies, radiation exposure, etc. of moving the pad to another location on site would impact the NDT.

Requesting to vote as written with "no guarantees".

Request to Chairperson to work on areas of contention and consensus document.

Entergy version contains language "note to panel" – clean version without the note.

Suggest procedure to go round table and vote.

Fundamental question – existing site will not impact D&D. No answer to that question right now and will not be able to answer until D&D begins...no guarantees.

Panel concern PSB needs assurance (have until week of 2/22 to present without impeding schedule) – No significant cost or time delays – create a separate document or MOU.

Discussion that third pad in an alternate location and the second pad request in front of PSB is sufficient to support site at this time.

Withdraw both opinions and set up a working group to meet to merge the documents within the next month to create a third opinion. Discuss at 1/28th meeting. Approved by panel.

Chris Campney, Chris Recchia, Steve Skibnowsky, Paul Paradis, Kate O'Connor

Panel

	Discussion on timeline for action on Groundwater Monitoring and RERP Funding draft opinions	
8:00 p.m. (15 minutes)	2016 Work Plan Issue Committee Update Panel Resources Update Meeting Schedule	Panel
	January Plan Education & Issues Yankee Rowe Experience Annual Report Working Group	
	February Plan_ Advisory Opinion Groundwater Monitoring March or April Plan	
	Advisory RERP Funding Next NDCAP meetings 1/28/2016 & 2/25/2016 January election – interested parties let chair know. Standard practice to meet every other month unless deemed necessary with a placeholder the fourth week of every month for discussion at each	
	meeting to confirm. Discussion regarding panel resources going forward. Natural Association of Development meeting and webinar 1/27 for host communities available.	
	Association Environmental Health & Sciences North East meeting available for public? – Jen Stromstren Extension period for comments and organizations requesting.	
8:15 p.m. (10 minutes)	Public Comment	Public
8:25 p.m.	Wrap Up and Adjourn	Panel